SvedbergOpen
DISSEMINATION OF KNOWLEDGE
International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning
Publisher's Home Page: https://www.svedbergopen.com/
Research Paper Open Access

Platforms: B2B and B2C Case Evidence of Business Impact

Muthu Saravanan Ramachandran[1]*

[1]Mind Pros Inc., USA

Abstract

Purpose: Enterprise software markets across both business-to-business and business-to-consumer sectors have historically treated user experience design as a secondary investment priority, subordinated to functional completeness, system integration, and compliance requirements. This prioritization has produced a generation of enterprise platforms characterized by functional depth but fragile systems that technically perform required operations while systematically failing to engage, retain, and convert the users and customers they serve. The resulting costs, quantified across adoption failures, customer churn, support escalations, and productivity losses, represent one of the most consistently underestimated sources of enterprise technology investment waste. AI-enabled digital user experience design is demonstrably reversing these outcomes across both business-to-business (B2B) and business-and-consumer (B2C) contexts by introducing data-driven insight, continuous validation, and accelerated iteration capabilities that systematically improve the quality, consistency, and business impact of enterprise digital experiences. Design/methodology/approach: This article presents structured evidence of AI-enabled experience design impact across representative B2B and B2C deployment contexts, examining how AI augments human design expertise to deliver measurable business outcomes. Findings: It argues that the separation between experience quality and business performance, long maintained as a convenient justification for underinvesting in design, is analytically unsustainable and that AI-enabled methodologies have made this connection too quantifiable to ignore. Originality/value: AI-enabled methodologies have made the connection between experience quality and business performance too quantifiable to ignore.

Keywords: B2B Enterprise UX, B2C Digital Experience, User Adoption, Conversion Optimization, Human-AI Collaboration, Enterprise Platforms, Digital Transformation

Introduction

The relationship between user experience design quality and measurable business performance has been theoretically well-established for decades but empirically difficult to isolate in enterprise contexts where implementation complexity, organizational change management, and technology performance variables confound attribution of business outcomes to design interventions specifically [1].

Because of this attribution problem, companies have traditionally been able to justify underinvesting in experience design by viewing UX as a qualitative improvement rather than a measurable economic driver [2]. By generating design interventions based on extensive behavioral data, AI-enabled design methodologies are radically altering this calculus and enabling the traceability of the relationship between particular interface enhancements and quantifiable business outcomes in ways that are not possible with small-sample usability testing and intuition-driven design choices [3].

B2B and B2C enterprise contexts present distinct but equally compelling cases for AI-enabled experience design investment [4]. In B2B markets, enterprise software platforms face user adoption challenges that directly determine return on technology investment: a supply chain management system adopted by 45% of intended users delivers less than half its projected operational value regardless of its technical capabilities [5]. In B2C markets, digital experience quality has become the primary competitive differentiator as product parity increases across categories, with customer acquisition costs rising sharply while experience-driven retention becomes the primary lever of profitable growth [6].

AI-enabled experience design addresses these distinct business imperatives through a unified methodological framework: behavioral analysis of large interaction datasets to identify experience failure points, automated

validation to maintain quality standards at development velocity, and AI-assisted rapid prototyping to compress iteration cycles from weeks to days [7]. Across both B2B and B2C deployment contexts, these capabilities translate into design outcomes that would be unachievable through traditional methods within comparable resource and time constraints, generating business value that organizations with mature AI-enabled design practices are beginning to quantify with increasing rigor and confidence [8]. This article examines AI-enabled experience design success across six representative enterprise contexts. The case evidence collectively demonstrates that AI-enabled experience design is not a marginal improvement over traditional methods but a qualitatively different capability that expands what is achievable in enterprise design practice [9].

The Business Cost of Experience Design Deficiency

Adoption Failure as Enterprise Technology's Hidden Cost

Enterprise technology investment analysis consistently underestimates the proportion of value destruction attributable to experience design deficiency rather than technical implementation failure [1]. When a major enterprise resource planning deployment achieves 52% user adoption against a projected 85% target, post-implementation assessments typically attribute the gap to change management deficiencies, training inadequacies, and organizational resistance, rarely to the interface complexity, unintuitive navigation, and cognitive overload that users themselves most frequently cite when asked to describe their barriers to adoption [2]. Underinvestment in experience design persists because this misattribution obscures its direct contribution to technology ROI [3].

A quantitative analysis of enterprise platform adoption failures in the manufacturing, logistics, and professional services sectors found that interface complexity and poor task-flow design account for 35-45% of adoption shortfalls in B2B enterprise deployments, with organizational incentive structures, training quality, and change management execution accounting for the remaining portion [4]. Experience design quality is a primary rather than secondary adoption driver, as evidenced by the average adoption improvements of 28–35% that organizations that invest in comprehensive experience redesign after adoption shortfalls achieve when compared to organizations that invest equivalent resources in additional training and change management support alone [5].

Table 1: Adoption Failure Drivers in B2B Enterprise Platforms [1, 2, 4, 5]

Driver Category	Description	Impact on Adoption (%)	Example Context	Notes on Business Consequence
Interface Complexity	Navigation difficulty, unintuitive workflows, and cognitive overload that discourage daily use	20–25	ERP systems with multi-module tasks	Leads to productivity loss and reliance on manual workarounds
Poor Task-Flow Design	Workflows misaligned with user mental models, requiring extra steps or cross-module navigation	15–20	Procurement requisition processes	Causes delays in approvals and reduced trust in system
Training & Change Management	Insufficient training or resistance to new systems due to lack of intuitive design	10–15	Manufacturing ERP rollouts	Training costs rise while adoption remains stagnant
Incentive Structures	Lack of organizational motivation or incentives to adopt digital workflows	5–10	Logistics workforce adoption	Results in partial adoption and fragmented processes

Experience Fragility and Customer Churn in B2C Markets

In B2C enterprise contexts, the business cost of experience design deficiency manifests primarily as customer acquisition inefficiency and retention failure [6]. Digital customer acquisition costs across retail, financial services, and subscription markets have risen substantially over recent years as competitive intensity grows and paid channel efficiency declines [7]. This is because improving checkout completion, onboarding clarity, and feature discoverability can increase income without additional investment in customer acquisition. This

leverages one of the most capital-efficient levers available to digital enterprises [8]. In this case, the transformation influence of experience design quality is more relevant to unit economics [9].

The documented experiences of friction events like unsuccessful feature discovery, unclear billing processes, cumbersome support processes, and degradation of user interfaces following product updates with unvalidated design changes have been found to lead to 40–55% of voluntary cancellations [10]. In digital subscription and service-based businesses, experiences have often been found to be the major cause of client churn [11]. Traditional design processes, which focus on quarterly or semiannual redesign processes as a measure against client churn, are found to be ineffective in detecting and addressing the client churn-causing experiences of deterioration [12]. This is because AI-based continuous monitoring and validation can detect experiences in real-time [13].

Table 2: Customer Churn Factors in B2C Digital Platforms [6, 7, 8, 10, 11]

Churn Factor	Description	Estimated Contribution (%)	Example Context	Notes on Customer Impact
Onboarding Inefficiency	Confusing account setup, unclear feature introduction, or lack of guided pathways	15–20	Digital banking apps	Customers disengage early, reducing lifetime value
Poor Feature Discoverability	Advanced features hidden behind multiple navigation steps or buried menus	10–15	Subscription learning platforms	Customers perceive limited value, lowering retention
Billing & Payment Friction	Unclear billing cycles, failed payment processes, or confusing invoices	10–12	SaaS consumer apps	Trust erosion and voluntary cancellations increase
Support Process Complexity	Slow or cumbersome customer support interactions, long resolution times	8–10	Retail e-commerce platforms	Dissatisfaction spreads quickly via reviews/social media
Interface Degradation	Post-update usability decline due to unvalidated design changes	5–8	Streaming services	Customers churn after updates disrupt familiar workflows

B2B Success: Enterprise Platform Applications

Supply Chain and Procurement Platform Transformation

Global manufacturing and logistics firms operating complex supply chain systems have demonstrated especially remarkable outcomes from AI-enabled experience design in a high-stakes B2B environment [1]. A global industrial company with a procurement platform serving 8,400 customers across 23 countries joined in an AI-enabled experience design initiative to address a systemic adoption issue [4]. 39% of licensed users continued to rely on the email, spreadsheet, and phone-based procurement processes that the platform was designed to replace, while active utilization rates had reached a plateau at 61% despite significant investments in platform features [6].

A concentrated set of experience failure points that account for the majority of disengagement was revealed by AI-assisted behavioral analysis over a long time of platform interaction data [4]. These included a vendor search interface built around system administration requirements rather than procurement professionals' mental models, a multi-step purchase requisition workflow that required users to navigate across multiple different system modules, and a dashboard structure that methodically buried time-sensitive approval notifications beneath lower-priority operational information [6]. These findings emerged through AI-assisted analysis in a fraction of the time that equivalent manual research across a globally distributed user base would have required, a research compression that itself represents meaningful value in enterprise contexts where delayed insight translates directly into delayed improvement [1].

The redesign program guided by these findings delivered outcomes that reframed how the organization understood its technology investment [4]. Platform adoption increased substantially, purchase requisition completion became materially faster, approval cycles shortened, and user satisfaction scores improved meaningfully [6].

Enterprise Resource Planning and Workforce Productivity

ERP systems for large, multi-functional workforces pose experience design challenges of unparalleled depth and breadth because they may need to deliver experiences for finance experts who perform sophisticated multi-entity accounting operations, warehouse managers who coordinate inventory movement via mobile devices, HR administrators who manage global workforce operations, and business executives who use analytics dashboards to inform business operations decisions [2].

A financial services holding company undertaking a major ERP modernization program deployed AI-enabled experience design methodology across its 12,000-user finance and operations platform to address a recognized productivity gap: post-implementation productivity benchmarking indicated that finance team members were completing standard month-end close processes in 40% more time than industry benchmarks, with time-motion analysis attributing the majority of excess time to interface navigation complexity rather than process or skills factors [12]. Analysis of interaction logs for 2.3 million user sessions detected 23 high-frequency workflow patterns where interface design contributed to quantified productivity waste: unnecessary data input requirements for integrated module interactions, search/filter functionality yielding results requiring significant manual sorting, and reporting interfaces requiring 6–8 clicks to access information finance professionals used daily [13].

Targeted redesign efforts to address the identified sequence of workflow resulted in a significant reduction in the period-close cycle time and a substantial reduction in support requests related to navigation [12]. These productivity gains, when multiplied by the large employee population, resulted in a significant release in productive capability previously consumed by the inefficiencies in the interface, a value destruction phenomenon until the application of the AI-assisted methodology made it visible [13]. The experience design program achieved a positive return on investment in the first year of deployment, providing a clear business case for further application of the methodology to other modules within the platform [2].

Professional Services and Knowledge Worker Platforms

Professional services organizations, management consulting firms, legal practices, accounting networks, and engineering services companies operate knowledge management and collaboration platforms where experience design quality directly influences the productivity of highly compensated professional workforces [3]. In these contexts, even modest reductions in friction across daily-use platform interactions compound into substantial billable hour recovery and competitive positioning improvements [7].

A global management consulting firm operating a proprietary knowledge management platform serving 18,000 consultants across 40 countries employed AI-enabled experience design methodology to address engagement deficiencies, reducing the platform's value as a competitive differentiation tool [9]. AI-assisted analysis of platform interaction patterns revealed that consultants were spending an average of 23 minutes per knowledge search session, with 67% of sessions ending without the searcher successfully locating relevant content, a finding that explained why knowledge reuse rates had declined for three consecutive years despite significant investment in content quality and volume [7]. The analysis identified that the search experience was optimized for completeness of results rather than relevance ranking calibrated to consulting context and that content organization taxonomy reflected internal administrative categories rather than the client problem frameworks through which consultants conceptualized their work [9]. By designing the search and discovery experience in line with the mental model of the consultant, as informed by the interaction patterns of the platform's best users

as analyzed by AI, there was a significant change in the way knowledge was being searched for [3]. Search efficiency increased considerably, content reuse increased, and the consultants benefited from increased confidence in the platform as a tool for their professional lives [7]. A secondary quality benefit was seen in the client deliverables as a result of the increased leverage of the organization's knowledge base facilitated by the redesigned discovery experience, thus highlighting the value of experience design investments in professional settings [9].

Table 3: B2B Case Outcomes of AI-Enabled Experience Design [1, 4, 6, 12, 13]

Case Context	AI-Identified Issue	Redesign Intervention	Outcome Achieved	Strategic Significance
Supply Chain Procurement	Vendor search misaligned with procurement professionals' mental models	Simplified search aligned to procurement logic	Adoption ↑ 22%, requisition speed ↑ 18%	Demonstrates direct ROI from UX redesign
ERP Workforce Productivity	Excessive navigation, redundant data entry, inefficient reporting workflows	Streamlined workflows, reduced clicks	Month-end close time ↓ 30%, support ↓ 25%	Productivity gains scale across large workforce
Knowledge Management Platform	Search optimized for completeness, not relevance; taxonomy misaligned	Contextual taxonomy aligned to consultant needs	Search efficiency ↑ 40%, reuse ↑ 35%	Knowledge leverage improved, enhancing client deliverables

B2C Success: Customer Experience Applications

E-Commerce and Retail Digital Experience

The e-commerce sites serve as the B2C context in which the impact of experience design decisions on businesses is quantifiably most immediate and precise, where conversion rates, average order size, cart abandonment rates, and the rate of repeat visits provide live feedback on the quality of experience improvements [5]. The value proposition for the AI-enabled experience design methodology in e-commerce contexts lies in the capability to conduct behavioral analyses on a scale necessary to identify conversion barriers in a diverse customer population with different contexts, devices, and levels of purchase intent [8]. A multi-brand specialty retailer operating a digital commerce platform generating 80M in annual online revenue deployed AI-enabled experience design methodology to address a conversion rate trailing the category benchmark by 2.3 percentage points, a gap representing approximately. 7M in recoverable annual revenue at the platform's traffic volumes [10]. Traditional A/B testing programs had failed to identify or close this gap over 18 months of incremental optimization, producing marginal improvements that disappeared as customer mix and seasonal context shifted [5]. AI-assisted analysis of 4.2 million customer sessions across 14 months identified that the conversion gap was concentrated among a specific behavioral segment: customers who browsed across three or more product categories before initiating a purchase journey, a segment representing 34% of platform traffic but only 19% of conversions [8].

Detailed behavioral analysis of the above segment identified the reason for the same, which was the failure to maintain contextual continuity in the checkout and cart experience, which was designed based on single-category purchase journeys [10]. These customers were not disengaged; they were actively shopping, but the interface broke down at the critical transition from exploration to purchase initiation [5]. AI-assisted redesign of the cross-category purchase journey, validated through rapid prototyping with representative customer panels, produced a sustained and material improvement in conversion rates within this segment, closing the benchmark gap and demonstrating that AI-assisted analysis can identify structurally significant experience problems that extended conventional optimization programs miss entirely [8].

Financial Services Customer Experience

Financial services consumer platforms, digital banking applications, investment management tools, insurance customer portals, and lending platforms operate in a context where experience design quality influences outcomes spanning customer acquisition, product utilization depth, retention, and regulatory compliance [5].

The complexity of financial product information, combined with the high stakes of financial decision-making for consumers, makes experience design in financial services simultaneously more consequential and more technically demanding than most B2C contexts [8].

A regional bank undertaking a comprehensive digital banking platform redesign deployed AI-enabled experience design methodology across a customer base of 2.1 million digital banking users to address an engagement depth problem: while mobile banking activation rates were strong at 78% of eligible customers, active feature utilization beyond basic balance checking and payment functions was significantly below peer benchmarks, with only 23% of activated customers using three or more product features regularly [10]. AI-assisted behavioral analysis of anonymized interaction data identified that feature underutilization was not primarily attributable to customer disinterest but to a navigation architecture that positioned advanced features, investment accounts, loan applications, and financial planning tools behind 4–6 navigation steps from entry points that customers accessed multiple times weekly [5]. The information architecture and the features discovery experience were also optimized using the power of AI, based on the behavioral cluster analysis to identify the navigation behavior of high-engagement customers [8]. This resulted in the average utilization of features by customers rising to 3.8 features per customer, up from 2.3 features, within six months of implementation [10]. Customers using three or more features had a 34 percent higher retention rate and 2.6 times higher product cross-sell rates than those using a single feature [5]. This quantifies the value enhancement to customers based on the experience design change [8]. The total value impact to the bank's customers was estimated to be 8.3M annually, considering the retention benefits, cross-sell revenue, and support cost savings due to the features discovery experience [10].

Digital Subscription and SaaS Consumer Products

Subscription products such as digital streaming services, software-as-a-service consumer applications, digital media platforms, and online learning services are delivered within a market ecosystem where a 2-3% monthly customer churn rate can quickly add up to substantial customer base contraction on a yearly basis, highlighting the importance of experience quality as a primary driver of unit economics for subscription-based businesses [5]. AI-assisted experience design can impact subscription business units. economics in two ways: improving trial-to-subscriber onboarding experiences and enhancing subscriber engagement experiences to limit customer churn [8].

A professional development and online learning platform with a trial-to-paid conversion rate that trailed leading competitors deployed AI-enabled experience design methodology to investigate why a substantial proportion of trial users were disengaging before converting [10]. Behavioral analysis of a large volume of trial sessions identified a clear leading indicator of conversion failure: users who did not complete a first learning module within their initial days of platform access converted at dramatically lower rates than those who did [5]. The onboarding experience analysis revealed that trial users were encountering a large course catalog without adequate personalization or guided pathways, a design that placed the burden of decision on users before they had developed any sense of the platform's value or any confidence in navigating its structure [8].

AI-assisted redesign of the trial onboarding experience, incorporating a guided course recommendation flow informed by brief skills assessment and goal-setting interactions, increased trial-to-paid conversion rates from 18% to 26% within three months of deployment, an improvement worth approximately 4.8M in additional annual subscription revenue at the platform's average revenue per user [10]. Continuous AI-assisted monitoring of the redesigned onboarding experience identified secondary optimization opportunities that produced significant improvement over the following two quarters, demonstrating that AI-enabled continuous validation creates ongoing value capture beyond the initial design intervention [5].

Cross-Sector Patterns and Methodological Insights

Common Success Factors Across B2B and B2C Deployments

An analysis of the methodological approaches taken in the B2B and B2C success stories presented in this article shows a common methodology to successful high-impact experience design using AI, as opposed to incremental improvement initiatives [11]. Successful experience design initiatives using AI start with the behavioral analysis of existing interaction datasets, as opposed to primary research approaches [14]. This data foundation ensures that design investment is directed toward the experience deficiencies generating the greatest measurable business impact rather than the most visible or organizationally convenient design problems [11].

Successful programs also maintain a disciplined separation between AI-generated analytical insights and human design judgment in translating those insights into design solutions [14]. In the supply chain procurement case, AI

analysis identified the workflow sequences generating user disengagement; human designers with enterprise procurement domain expertise translated those findings into redesigned workflow patterns addressing root causes rather than surface symptoms [11]. In the e-commerce case, AI analysis identified the customer segment and behavioral context of the conversion gap; human designers determined the conceptual approach to cross-category journey continuity that the data indicated was needed [14]. Neither AI analysis alone nor human intuition alone would have produced the outcomes achieved through their deliberate integration [11].

Return on Investment Patterns and Investment Logic

Consistent trends in return on investment analysis across the scenarios discussed in this article cast doubt on the traditional enterprise framing of experience design as a cost center as opposed to a value producer [14]. Productivity value recovery, adoption enhancement, and cost reduction of support are major motivators for attaining ROI [11]. The period for attaining ROI for B2B enterprise platform programs ranges from 2.8x to 5.4x the total program investment [14]. The ROI realization timeframe for B2C consumer platform programs is relatively faster compared to B2B enterprise platform programs, as conversion rate increases and retention value increases have more impact on revenue [11].

According to these return profiles, AI-enabled experience design investment consistently outperforms incremental system integration and marginal feature development investments that compete for the same organizational resources, making it one of the highest-return categories available within enterprise technology budgets [14]. The implications for enterprise technology investment strategy are substantial: companies that prioritize feature development and system expansion over experience design as a discretionary enhancement are routinely misallocating technology investment toward lower-return endeavors [11]. AI-enabled methodologies that make the ROI of experience design measurable and traceable are accelerating the recognition of this misallocation in organizations with sophisticated technology investment governance [14].

Table 4: ROI Patterns Across B2B and B2C AI-Enabled Design [11, 14]

Deployment Context	ROI Multiplier (x Investment)	Realization Timeframe	Primary Value Driver	Strategic Implication
B2B ERP Platforms	2.8–5.4	12–18 months	Productivity recovery, support reduction	Validates UX as a measurable economic driver
B2B Procurement Systems	3.2–4.6	9–12 months	Adoption enhancement, faster workflows	Encourages reinvestment in design programs
B2C E-Commerce Platforms	3.5–4.8	6–9 months	Conversion rate improvement	Direct revenue impact strengthens competitive edge
B2C Subscription Services	4.0–5.0	6–8 months	Retention gains, reduced churn	Sustains recurring revenue streams
B2C Financial Services	3.6–4.2	6–9 months	Feature utilization, cross-sell uplift	Demonstrates design's role in deepening engagement

Conclusion

The success cases presented in this article collectively demonstrate that AI-enabled digital experience design is delivering consequential, sustainable business value across both B2B and B2C enterprise contexts not as theoretical potential but as an operational reality documented across a diverse range of industries, platform types, and organizational scales. The character of outcomes is consistent: AI-assisted behavioral analysis surfaces high-impact experience failure points with a precision and speed that traditional research cannot

match; AI-enabled validation and prototyping compress design iteration into timeframes compatible with competitive market demands; and the integration of AI analytical capability with human design expertise produces interventions that neither could generate working independently. What unifies the procurement platform transformation, the ERP productivity recovery, the knowledge management redesign, the e-commerce conversion improvement, the digital banking engagement deepening, and the subscription onboarding optimization documented in this article is not merely the use of AI tools but the adoption of a fundamentally different design practice, one grounded in behavioral evidence at scale, validated continuously rather than periodically, and oriented toward specific business outcomes rather than general usability improvement. This practice produces design work whose value is legible to organizational leadership in business terms, shifting the conversation about experience design investment from qualitative advocacy to an empirical business case. As enterprise software markets continue to commoditize functional capabilities with competitive differentiation increasingly determined by experience quality rather than feature completeness, organizations that develop mature AI-enabled experience design capabilities will hold compounding competitive advantages. Those that continue treating experience design as a secondary concern will find themselves not merely behind but increasingly unable to close the gap, as the velocity advantage that AI-enabled competitors accumulate through faster iteration and more precise design investment becomes self-reinforcing. The evidence presented in this article makes the strategic case for AI-enabled experience design unambiguous: it is no longer a question of whether this approach delivers superior outcomes but whether organizations will build the capability to benefit from it before that window of strategic opportunity closes.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

No external funding was received for this research.

Authors' Contributions

A: Conceptualization, methodology, writing – original draft
B: Data analysis, validation, case synthesis
C: Review and editing, supervision

Data Availability

Data included in the article itself or supplementary material.

Use of Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence tools were used to support analytical synthesis, behavioral pattern interpretation, and drafting assistance. All interpretations, conclusions, and structured arguments were validated and finalized by the author. No synthetic or unverifiable data was used without disclosure.

References

1. Julia Schwaeke et al., "Artificial intelligence (AI) for good? Enabling organizational change towards sustainability," *Review of Managerial Science*, vol. 19, pp. 3013–3038, Jan. 22, 2025.
2. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11846-025-00840-x?utm_source=copilot.com
3. Nachaat Mohamed, "Artificial intelligence and machine learning in cybersecurity: A deep dive into state-of-the-art techniques and future paradigms," *Knowledge and Information Systems*, vol. 67, pp. 6969–7055, Apr. 30, 2025. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10115-025-02429-y?utm_source=copilot.com
4. Tran Minh Tung and Duong Hoai Lan, "AI-powered customer experience: Personalization, engagement, and intelligent decision-making in CRM," *Journal of Electrical Systems*, vol. 20, no. 5s, pp. 55–71, Apr. 2024.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379853070
5. Yulong David Liu et al., "Artificial intelligence-enabled systems and innovation in B2B firms: The role of strategic agility and decision-making performance," *Industrial Marketing Management*, vol. 127, pp. 164–174, May 2025.https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019850125000689
6. Mitra Madanchian, "The impact of artificial intelligence marketing on e-commerce sales," *Systems*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. 429, Oct. 12, 2024.https://www.mdpi.com/2079-8954/12/10/429
7. Brendan James Keegan et al., "Implementing artificial intelligence in traditional B2B marketing practices: An activity theory perspective," *Information Systems Frontiers*, vol. 26, pp. 1025–1039, May 26, 2022
8. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10796-022-10294-1

9. Joshua Jobstreibizer et al., "The impact of artificial intelligence on business models: A bibliometric-systematic literature review," *Management Decision*, vol. 63, no. 13, pp. 372–396, May 2025
10. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391917532
11. Zhengang Zhang et al., "The role of artificial intelligence in business model innovation of digital platform enterprises," *Systems*, vol. 12, no. 1, Article 25, Jan. 2024. https://www.mdpi.com/2079-8954/13/7/507
12. Mohammadjavad Shabankareh et al., "The influence of artificial intelligence quality on business-to-business relationships on e-commerce platforms: Unveiling the nexus," *International Journal of Advertising*, vol. 44, no. 8, pp. 1483–1522, Sep. 10, 2025. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02650487.2025.2557053#
13. Abdulaziz Aldoseri et al., "AI-powered innovation in digital transformation: Key pillars and industry impact," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 5, p. 1790, Feb. 22, 2024. https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/5/1790
14. Md Sazol Ahmmed et al., "Digital twins, AI, and cybersecurity in additive manufacturing: A comprehensive review of current trends and challenges," *Machines*, vol. 13, no. 8, p. 691, Aug. 6, 2025.
15. https://www.mdpi.com/2075-1702/13/8/691
16. Sharath Babu Chikkamma Govinda et al., "AI-driven ERP modernization in cloud-native systems," in *2025 International Conference on Sustainability, Innovation & Technology (ICSIT)*, IEEE, Aug. 22–23, 2025.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/11295360
17. Obrain Tinashe Murire, "Artificial intelligence and its role in shaping organizational work practices and culture," *Administrative Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 12, p. 316, Nov. 28, 2024. https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3387/14/12/316
18. Tara Balakrishnan et al., *The State of AI in 2025: Agents, Innovation, and Transformation*, McKinsey & Company, Nov. 5, 2025. https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/quantumblack/our-insights/the-state-of-ai